

DURCHFÜHRUNGSBERICHT FÜR DAS ZIEL "EUROPÄISCHE TERRITORIALE ZUSAMMENARBEIT"

TEIL A

ANGABEN ZUM JÄHRLICHEN/ABSCHLIESSENDEN DURCHFÜHRUNGSBERICHT

CCI-Nr.	2014TC16RFCB002
Titel	(Interreg V-A) AT-CZ - Austria-Czech Republic
Version	2016.0
Datum der Genehmigung des Berichts durch den Begleitausschuss	28.06.2017

2. ÜBERBLICK ÜBER DIE DURCHFÜHRUNG DES KOOPERATIONSPROGRAMMS (ARTIKEL 50 ABSATZ 2 DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1303/2013 UND ARTIKEL 14 ABSATZ 3 BUCHSTABE A DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1299/2013)

Wichtigste Informationen zur Durchführung des Kooperationsprogramms für das betreffende Jahr, einschließlich Finanzinstrumenten, mit Bezug auf die Finanz- und Indikatordaten.

The cooperation programme INTERREG V-A Austria – Czech Republic was officially launched on 8th of January, 2016 with a press conference held in Znojmo, Czech Republic. Concurrently the first Call for projects was launched with a deadline for submission on 22nd of March, 2016. On 9th of March, 2016 the programme start was celebrated publicly with a Kick-off conference held in St. Pölten, Austria where more than 400 interested participants were welcomed.

In the 2nd meeting of the Monitoring Committee held on 27th to 28th of June 2016, in Rakvice, Czech Republic, **23 projects** out of **37 submitted** were **approved**. This amount of projects corresponded with an allocation of 23,733,117.03 EUR, hence – expressed as a percentage – **24 %** of the total programme budget. In the year of 2016, no ERDF resources were paid to the beneficiaries.

These 23 projects belong to all investment priorities and through the approval of them an important contribution to the achievement of programme indicators could be made. It is necessary to note that this achievement affects only approved/allocated results and therefore tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects.

In concrete terms the contribution breaks down as follows:

- IP 1a: In OP1, 2 out of 5 mechanisms and in OP2 14 out of 15 organisations were allocated;
- IP 1b: In OP3, 1 out of 8 services and in OP4, 20 out of 8 enterprises were allocated;
- IP 6c: In OP6, 1 out of 12 mechanisms was allocated;
- IP 6d: In OP8, 3 out of 4 elements and in OP9, 8 out of 10 mechanisms were allocated;
- IP 6f: In OP10, 1 out of 3 eco-innovations and in OP11, 1 out of 3 mechanisms were allocated;
- IP 10a: In CO46, 453 out of 700 persons and OP12, 5 out of 6 mechanisms were allocated;
- IP 11: OP13, 9 out of 320 partners were allocated;
- IP 5: OP15, OP16, OP17 were allocated fully and OP18 with 12.15 out of 15 FTE.

Taking into account the projects approved in the Monitoring Committee in January 2017, which have already been prepared and evaluated in the 2016 report year, IP1a is the best adopted investment priority, while IP1b strongly lags behind. This may be due to the fact that the programme is not seen as a research programme for companies and, compared to other dedicated research programs, the application for private enterprises as project participants seems too complex. The same applies to the area of eco-

innovation (IP6f). Again, on the basis of the current allocation, there is still plenty of scope for good projects. Previous submissions have lacked the necessary innovative power. In the current and future information events for applicants as well as in the relevant information channels (Internet, task force, Monitoring Committee) these IPs are therefore particularly advertised. The other investment priorities (6, 10, 11) are well on schedule, especially IP 6d - similar to IP1a - is already very well accepted.

Last but not least the year 2016 was dedicated to the development and adaptation of the electronic monitoring system (eMs). The application module was further developed; adaptations and amendments have been made. Moreover, the reporting module has been set and prepared in order to allow the project holder to submit their payment orders as soon as possible.

Concerning the designation-process, 2016 was the year of collecting relevant information and documents from all the bodies involved in the Management and Control System to have a good basis for the “Description of the Management and Control System (DMCS)”, which is the requirement to get designated. In spite of the lack of staff in the GS in Brno (which is fixed now) and the quite busy programme-closure process for the period 07-13 it was possible to start with the first draft of the DMCS. The first draft of the DMCS will be finished by the end of June 2017 and forwarded to the Audit Authority for feedback. Hopefully the designation will be finished by the end of 2017.

3. DURCHFÜHRUNG DER PRIORITÄTSACHSE

3.1 Überblick über die Durchführung

ID	Prioritätsachse	Wichtigste Informationen zur Durchführung der Prioritätsachse mit Verweis auf wichtigste Entwicklungen, erhebliche Probleme und zu deren Bewältigung unternommene Schritte
1	Strengthening research, technological development and innovation	6 project were approved, no significant problems were identified
2	Environment and Resources	4 project were approved, no significant problems were identified
3	Human resources development	4 project were approved, no significant problems were identified
4	Sustainable networks and institutional cooperation	2 project were approved, no significant problems were identified
5	Technical assistance	7 project were approved, no significant problems were identified

3.2 Gemeinsame und programmspezifische Indikatoren (Artikel 50 Absatz 2 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013)

Prioritätsachsen, ausgenommen technischen Hilfe

Prioritätsachse	1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation
Investitionspriorität	1a - Ausbau der Forschungs- und Innovationsinfrastruktur und der Kapazitäten für die Entwicklung von FuI-Spitzenleistungen; Förderung von Kompetenzzentren, insbesondere solchen von europäischem Interesse

Tabelle 2: Gemeinsame und programmspezifische Outputindikatoren

(I)	ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Zielwert	2016	Anmerkungen
F	OP 1	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of R&I (studies, strategies etc.)	Mechanisms	5,00	2,00	2 mechanisms were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 1	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of R&I (studies, strategies etc.)	Mechanisms	5,00	2,00	2 mechanisms were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	15,00	14,00	14 organisations were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	15,00	14,00	14 organisations were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects

(I)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	OP 1	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of R&I (studies, strategies etc.)	0,00	0,00
S	OP 1	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of R&I (studies, strategies etc.)	0,00	0,00
F	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0,00	0,00
S	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0,00	0,00

Prioritätsachse	1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation
Investitionspriorität	1a - Ausbau der Forschungs- und Innovationsinfrastruktur und der Kapazitäten für die Entwicklung von FuI-Spitzenleistungen; Förderung von Kompetenzzentren, insbesondere solchen von europäischem Interesse
Spezifisches Ziel	1a - Improved and extended research and innovation capacities

Tabelle 1: Ergebnisindikatoren

ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Basiswert	Basisjahr	Zielwert (2023) Insgesamt	2016 Insgesamt	2016 qualitativ	Anmerkungen
RI 1	Research quota - share of R&I on the GDP	%	2,70	2011	3,00	3,00		<p>The figure, filled in under "2016 Total", is the most recent figure available from Eurostat, from the year 2014. It can be assumed that the quota for 2016 is not lower.</p> <p>Austria's R&D spending is steadily increasing and is now more over three percent (2014 : 3,17% in the AT-programme area, 3.06% in whole Austria), thus distinctly higher than the EU-average of two percent. Austria has the third-highest R&D quota of all EU countries.</p> <p>Overall, R&D expenditures in the Czech Republic are growing and approaching the EU average in terms of spending as a share of GDP (2014 : 2,52 % in the CZ-programme area, 1,97 % in whole Czech Republic). European structural funds are the main driver of this growth. The majority of the public R&D funding is allocated to public universities and research institutions and focuses mostly on natural, technical and medical sciences.</p>

ID	Indikator	2015 Insgesamt	2015 qualitativ	2014 Insgesamt	2014 qualitativ
RI 1	Research quota - share of R&I on the GDP	2,70		0,00	

Prioritätsachse	1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation
Investitionspriorität	1b - Förderung von Investitionen der Unternehmen in F&I, Aufbau von Verbindungen und Synergien zwischen Unternehmen, Forschungs- und Entwicklungszentren und dem Hochschulsektor, insbesondere Förderung von Investitionen in Produkt- und Dienstleistungsentwicklung, Technologietransfer, soziale Innovation, Öko-Innovationen, öffentliche Dienstleistungsanwendungen, Nachfragestimulierung, Vernetzung, Cluster und offene Innovation durch intelligente Spezialisierung und Unterstützung von technologischer und angewandter Forschung, Pilotlinien, Maßnahmen zur frühzeitigen Produktvalidierung, fortschrittlichen Fertigungskapazitäten und Erstproduktion, insbesondere in Schlüsseltechnologien sowie der Verbreitung von Allzwecktechnologien

Tabelle 2: Gemeinsame und programmspezifische Outputindikatoren

(1)	ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Zielwert	2016	Anmerkungen
F	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	Services	8,00	1,00	1 service was approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	Services	8,00	1,00	1 service was approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 4	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Enterprises	6,00	20,00	20 enterprises were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 4	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Enterprises	6,00	20,00	20 enterprises were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	0,00	0,00
S	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	0,00	0,00
F	OP 4	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0,00	0,00
S	OP 4	Number of enterprises participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	0,00	0,00

Prioritätsachse	1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation
Investitionspriorität	1b - Förderung von Investitionen der Unternehmen in F&I, Aufbau von Verbindungen und Synergien zwischen Unternehmen, Forschungs- und Entwicklungszentren und dem Hochschulsektor, insbesondere Förderung von Investitionen in Produkt- und Dienstleistungsentwicklung, Technologietransfer, soziale Innovation, Öko-Innovationen, öffentliche Dienstleistungsanwendungen, Nachfragestimulierung, Vernetzung, Cluster und offene Innovation durch intelligente Spezialisierung und Unterstützung von technologischer und angewandter Forschung, Pilotlinien, Maßnahmen zur frühzeitigen Produktvalidierung, fortschrittlichen Fertigungskapazitäten und Erstproduktion, insbesondere in Schlüsseltechnologien sowie der Verbreitung von Allzwecktechnologien
Spezifisches Ziel	1b - Fostering the involvement of enterprises (primarily SMEs) in the innovation system

Tabelle 1: Ergebnisindikatoren

ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Basiswert	Basisjahr	Zielwert (2023) Insgesamt	2016 Insgesamt	2016 qualitativ	Anmerkungen
RI 2	R&I expenditure in the business sector in % of GDP	%	1,80	2011	2,20	1,93		<p>Note!: The figure, filled in under "2016 Total", is the most recent figure available from Eurostat, from the year 2014. It can be assumed that the quota for 2016 is not lower.</p> <p>Austria: Approximately 70% of R&D spending is done by Austrian businesses. In the Austrian programme region it is 66%. The total spending in the programme region has increased since 2011 from 2,584 Million PPS (purchasing power standard) to 3,142 Million PPS in 2014.</p> <p>Czech Republic: Business R&D spending is relative low compared to other countries. It is evenly distributed among basic research, applied research and experimental development. Business enterprise research and development (BERD) represents the biggest share of R&D spending. Approximately 56% of R&D spending is done by Czech businesses. In the Czech programme region it is 57.7%. The total spending in the programme region has inc</p>

ID	Indikator	2015 Insgesamt	2015 qualitativ	2014 Insgesamt	2014 qualitativ
RI 2	R&I expenditure in the business sector in % of GDP	1,80		0,00	

Prioritätsachse	2 - Environment and Resources
Investitionspriorität	6c - Bewahrung, Schutz, Förderung und Entwicklung des Natur- und Kulturerbes

Tabelle 2: Gemeinsame und programmspezifische Outputindikatoren

(1)	ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Zielwert	2016	Anmerkungen
F	CO14	Straßen: Gesamtlänge der wiederaufgebauten oder ausgebauten Straßenverbindungen	km	10,00	0,00	No kilometres of reconstructed or upgraded roads were approved in 2016
S	CO14	Straßen: Gesamtlänge der wiederaufgebauten oder ausgebauten Straßenverbindungen	km	10,00	0,00	No kilometres of reconstructed or upgraded roads were approved in 2016
F	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	Elements	8,00	0,00	No elements with improved attractiveness were approved in 2016
S	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	Elements	8,00	0,00	No elements with improved attractiveness were approved in 2016
F	OP 6	Number of cross-border mechanisms to ensure joint management of common heritage	Mechanisms	12,00	1,00	1 mechanism was approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 6	Number of cross-border mechanisms to ensure joint management of common heritage	Mechanisms	12,00	1,00	1 mechanism was approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 7	Number of newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure	Elements	5,00	0,00	No elements newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure were approved in 2016
S	OP 7	Number of newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure	Elements	5,00	0,00	No elements newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure were approved in 2016

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	CO14	Straßen: Gesamtlänge der wiederaufgebauten oder ausgebauten Straßenverbindungen	0,00	0,00
S	CO14	Straßen: Gesamtlänge der wiederaufgebauten oder ausgebauten Straßenverbindungen	0,00	0,00
F	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	0,00	0,00
S	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	0,00	0,00
F	OP 6	Number of cross-border mechanisms to ensure	0,00	0,00

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
		joint management of common heritage		
S	OP 6	Number of cross-border mechanisms to ensure joint management of common heritage	0,00	0,00
F	OP 7	Number of newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure	0,00	0,00
S	OP 7	Number of newly built/improved elements of public touristic infrastructure	0,00	0,00

Prioritätsachse	2 - Environment and Resources
Investitionspriorität	6c - Bewahrung, Schutz, Förderung und Entwicklung des Natur- und Kulturerbes
Spezifisches Ziel	2a - Valorisation of the cultural and natural heritage of the common region in a sustainable way

Tabelle 1: Ergebnisindikatoren

ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Basiswert	Basisjahr	Zielwert (2023) Insgesamt	2016 Insgesamt	2016 qualitativ	Anmerkungen
RI 3	Number of overnight stays in the region	Number	28.028.000,00	2013	31.500.000,00	31.861.315,00		The tourism sectors are an important part of Austria's and Czech Republic's economies. In Austria, out of the Lands Lower, Upper Austria and Vienna, it was the capital, which recorded the highest increase of overnight stays in 2016 (14,962,438). In the Czech Republic, out of Vysočina, Jihomoravský kraj and Jihočeský kraj, it was the latter, which registered the highest number of overnight stays in 2016 (3,960,578).

ID	Indikator	2015 Insgesamt	2015 qualitativ	2014 Insgesamt	2014 qualitativ
RI 3	Number of overnight stays in the region	2.802.800.000,00		0,00	

Prioritätsachse	2 - Environment and Resources
Investitionspriorität	6d - Erhaltung und Wiederherstellung der Biodiversität und des Bodens und Förderung von Ökosystemdienstleistungen, einschließlich über Natura 2000, und grüne Infrastruktur

Tabelle 2: Gemeinsame und programmspezifische Outputindikatoren

(1)	ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Zielwert	2016	Anmerkungen
F	OP 8	Number of elements of green infrastructure realized	Elements	4,00	3,00	3 elements were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 8	Number of elements of green infrastructure realized	Elements	4,00	3,00	3 elements were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	Mechanisms	10,00	8,00	8 mechanisms were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	Mechanisms	10,00	8,00	8 mechanisms were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	OP 8	Number of elements of green infrastructure realized	0,00	0,00
S	OP 8	Number of elements of green infrastructure realized	0,00	0,00
F	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	0,00	0,00
S	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	0,00	0,00

Prioritätsachse	2 - Environment and Resources
Investitionspriorität	6d - Erhaltung und Wiederherstellung der Biodiversität und des Bodens und Förderung von Ökosystemdienstleistungen, einschließlich über Natura 2000, und grüne Infrastruktur
Spezifisches Ziel	2b - Increase of ecological stability and improvement of ecosystem services

Tabelle 1: Ergebnisindikatoren

ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Basiswert	Basisjahr	Zielwert (2023) Insgesamt	2016 Insgesamt	2016 qualitativ	Anmerkungen
RI4	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the quality of environment and ecosystem services	%	38,40		45,00	38,99		The survey (German, Czech) was prepared by the MA and submitted to 225 experts in April 2017. Target group were national and regional experts from the fields of environment, nature and landscape protection, climate change, disaster control, civil protection, energy, and waste and water sector. A slight increase of measuring the quality of environment and ecosystem services from 38.40 % (in 2015) to 38.99 % (in 2016) could be noted.

ID	Indikator	2015 Insgesamt	2015 qualitativ	2014 Insgesamt	2014 qualitativ
RI4	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the quality of environment and ecosystem services	38,40		0,00	

Prioritätsachse	2 - Environment and Resources
Investitionspriorität	6f - Förderung innovativer Technologien zur Verbesserung des Umweltschutzes und der Ressourceneffizienz in der Abfallwirtschaft, der Wasserwirtschaft und im Hinblick auf den Boden oder zur Verringerung der Luftverschmutzung

Tabelle 2: Gemeinsame und programmspezifische Outputindikatoren

(1)	ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Zielwert	2016	Anmerkungen
F	OP 10	Number of eco-innovations introduced in the cross-border area	Eco-innovations	3,00	1,00	1 eco-innovation was approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 10	Number of eco-innovations introduced in the cross-border area	Eco-innovations	3,00	1,00	1 eco-innovation was approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 11	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of eco-innovations	Mechanisms	3,00	1,00	1 mechanism was approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 11	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of eco-innovations	Mechanisms	3,00	1,00	1 mechanism was approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	OP 10	Number of eco-innovations introduced in the cross-border area	0,00	0,00
S	OP 10	Number of eco-innovations introduced in the cross-border area	0,00	0,00
F	OP 11	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of eco-innovations	0,00	0,00
S	OP 11	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of eco-innovations	0,00	0,00

Prioritätsachse	2 - Environment and Resources
Investitionspriorität	6f - Förderung innovativer Technologien zur Verbesserung des Umweltschutzes und der Ressourceneffizienz in der Abfallwirtschaft, der Wasserwirtschaft und im Hinblick auf den Boden oder zur Verringerung der Luftverschmutzung
Spezifisches Ziel	2c - Fostering the utilisation of eco-innovative potential of the region

Tabelle 1: Ergebnisindikatoren

ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Basiswert	Basisjahr	Zielwert (2023) Insgesamt	2016 Insgesamt	2016 qualitativ	Anmerkungen
RI5	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the level of eco-innovation activities	%	62,40	2015	75,00	63,90		The survey (German, Czech) was prepared by the MA and submitted to 225 experts in April 2017. Target group were national and regional experts from the fields of environment, nature and landscape protection, climate change, disaster control, civil protection, energy, and waste and water sector. A slight increase of measuring the level of eco-innovation activities from 62.40 % (in 2015) to 63.90 % (in 2016) could be noted.

ID	Indikator	2015 Insgesamt	2015 qualitativ	2014 Insgesamt	2014 qualitativ
RI5	Share of weighings for category 4 and 5 measuring the level of eco-innovation activities	62,40		0,00	

Prioritätsachse	3 - Human resources development
Investitionspriorität	10a - Investitionen in Bildung, Ausbildung und Berufsbildung für Kompetenzen und lebenslanges Lernen durch Ausbau der Aus- und Weiterbildungsinfrastruktur

Tabelle 2: Gemeinsame und programmspezifische Outputindikatoren

(1)	ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Zielwert	2016	Anmerkungen
F	CO46	Arbeitsmarkt und Ausbildung: Zahl der Teilnehmer an gemeinsamen Aus- und Weiterbildungsprogrammen zur grenzüberschreitenden Förderung von Jugendbeschäftigung, Bildungsangeboten und Berufs- und Hochschulbildung	Persons	700,00	453,00	453 persons were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	CO46	Arbeitsmarkt und Ausbildung: Zahl der Teilnehmer an gemeinsamen Aus- und Weiterbildungsprogrammen zur grenzüberschreitenden Förderung von Jugendbeschäftigung, Bildungsangeboten und Berufs- und Hochschulbildung	Persons	700,00	453,00	453 persons were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	Mechanisms	6,00	5,00	5 mechanisms were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	Mechanisms	6,00	5,00	5 mechanisms were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	CO46	Arbeitsmarkt und Ausbildung: Zahl der Teilnehmer an gemeinsamen Aus- und Weiterbildungsprogrammen zur grenzüberschreitenden Förderung von Jugendbeschäftigung, Bildungsangeboten und Berufs- und Hochschulbildung	0,00	0,00
S	CO46	Arbeitsmarkt und Ausbildung: Zahl der Teilnehmer an gemeinsamen Aus- und Weiterbildungsprogrammen zur grenzüberschreitenden Förderung von Jugendbeschäftigung, Bildungsangeboten und Berufs- und Hochschulbildung	0,00	0,00
F	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to	0,00	0,00

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
		promote joint training and education		
S	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	0,00	0,00

Prioritätsachse	3 - Human resources development
Investitionspriorität	10a - Investitionen in Bildung, Ausbildung und Berufsbildung für Kompetenzen und lebenslanges Lernen durch Ausbau der Aus- und Weiterbildungsinfrastruktur
Spezifisches Ziel	3a - Extension of common supply of education and qualification activities in order to utilize human resources potential in cross-border region

Tabelle 1: Ergebnisindikatoren

ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Basiswert	Basisjahr	Zielwert (2023) Insgesamt	2016 Insgesamt	2016 qualitativ	Anmerkungen
RI6	Joint education activities and qualification supply	number	75,00	2015	100,00	29,00		In the year 2016, only 29 joint educational activities and qualification supplies could be reported. This rather low number is due to the fact that no cross border projects have been implemented so far. The respondent institutions pointed out planned activities for the year 2017 considering the already approved projects in the investment priority 10a.

ID	Indikator	2015 Insgesamt	2015 qualitativ	2014 Insgesamt	2014 qualitativ
RI6	Joint education activities and qualification supply	75,00		0,00	

Prioritätsachse	4 - Sustainable networks and institutional cooperation
Investitionspriorität	11a - Verbesserung der institutionellen Kapazitäten von öffentlichen Behörden und Interessenträgern und effizientere öffentliche Verwaltung durch Maßnahmen zur Stärkung der institutionellen Kapazitäten und der Effizienz öffentlicher Verwaltungen und Dienste im Zusammenhang mit dem EFRE sowie zur Unterstützung von ESF-geförderten Maßnahmen zur Vergrößerung der institutionellen Kapazitäten und der Effizienz öffentlicher Verwaltungen

Tabelle 2: Gemeinsame und programmspezifische Outputindikatoren

(I)	ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Zielwert	2016	Anmerkungen
F	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	Partners	320,00	9,00	9 partners were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects. A steep increase of this indicator can be expected through the implementation of the Small Project Fund.
S	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	Partners	320,00	9,00	9 partners were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects. A steep increase of this indicator can be expected through the implementation of the Small Project Fund.

(I)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	0,00	0,00
S	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	0,00	0,00

Prioritätsachse	4 - Sustainable networks and institutional cooperation
Investitionspriorität	11a - Verbesserung der institutionellen Kapazitäten von öffentlichen Behörden und Interessenträgern und effizientere öffentliche Verwaltung durch Maßnahmen zur Stärkung der institutionellen Kapazitäten und der Effizienz öffentlicher Verwaltungen und Dienste im Zusammenhang mit dem EFRE sowie zur Unterstützung von ESF-geförderten Maßnahmen zur Vergrößerung der institutionellen Kapazitäten und der Effizienz öffentlicher Verwaltungen
Spezifisches Ziel	4a - Fostering cross-border cooperation of communities and institutions in joint regions

Tabelle 1: Ergebnisindikatoren

ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Basiswert	Basisjahr	Zielwert (2023) Insgesamt	2016 Insgesamt	2016 qualitativ	Anmerkungen
RI 7	Share of weighings for categories 4 (above average) and 5 (intensive) measuring the level of cooperation/ integration	%	22,00	2014	30,00	20,77		<p>The survey (German, Czech) was prepared by the MA and submitted to 578 experts in April 2017. The survey contained questions concerning experiences with ETC programmes and the intensity and quality of cross border cooperation.</p> <p>Target group were persons from public administration, municipalities, enterprises, research, schools, fire departments, culture, tourism, transport and infrastructure and others.</p> <p>A slight decrease of measuring the level of cooperation/ integration from 22.00 % (in 2014) to 20.77 % (in 2016) could be noted. This decrease could be explained through the fact that no cross border projects have been implemented so far. Especially through the activities of the already approved Small Project Fund, a steep increase of the share of weighings measuring the level of cooperation can be expected.</p>

ID	Indikator	2015 Insgesamt	2015 qualitativ	2014 Insgesamt	2014 qualitativ
RI 7	Share of weighings for categories 4 (above average) and 5 (intensive) measuring the level of cooperation/ integration	22,00		0,00	

Prioritätsachsen für technische Hilfe

Prioritätsachse	5 - Technical assistance
-----------------	--------------------------

Tabelle 2: Gemeinsame und programmspezifische Outputindikatoren

(I)	ID	Indikator	Einheit für die Messung	Zielwert	2016	Anmerkungen
F	O 17	Number of compulsory information events for beneficiaries at project	Number	12,00	12,00	12 events were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	O 17	Number of compulsory information events for beneficiaries at project	Number	12,00	12,00	12 events were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 15	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	200,00	200,00	200 projects were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 15	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	Number	200,00	200,00	200 projects were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 16	Number of major publicity events (P)	Numbers	2,00	2,00	2 major publicity events were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 16	Number of major publicity events (P)	Numbers	2,00	2,00	2 major publicity events were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
F	OP 18	Number of employees (full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance"	Full time equivalents	15,00	12,15	12,5 full time equivalents were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
S	OP 18	Number of employees (full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance"	Full time equivalents	15,00	12,15	12,5 full time equivalents were approved as an indicator in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects

(I)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	O 17	Number of compulsory information events for beneficiaries at project	0,00	0,00
S	O 17	Number of compulsory information events for beneficiaries at project	0,00	0,00
F	OP 15	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	0,00	0,00
S	OP 15	No of projects committed and successfully closed (P)	0,00	0,00

(1)	ID	Indikator	2015	2014
F	OP 16	Number of major publicity events (P)	0,00	0,00
S	OP 16	Number of major publicity events (P)	0,00	0,00
F	OP 18	Number of employees (full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance"	0,00	0,00
S	OP 18	Number of employees (full-time equivalents) whose salaries are co-financed by technical assistance"	0,00	0,00

3.3 Table 3: Information on the milestones and targets defined in the performance framework

Prioritätsachse	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Einheit für die Messung	Milestone for 2018 total	2016 Final target (2023) total	2016	Anmerkungen
1	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	1791939	14.685.060,00	0,00	No eligible expenditures were certified so far.
1	O	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	Services	1	8,00	1,00	1 service was approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of the concrete project.
1	O	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	2	15,00	14,00	14 organisations were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects.
2	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	6 520 356	53.434.764,00	0,00	No eligible expenditures were certified so far.
2	O	CO14	Straßen: Gesamtlänge der wiederaufgebauten oder ausgebauten Straßenverbindungen	km	2	10,00	0,00	No kilometres of reconstructed or upgraded roads were approved so far.
2	O	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	Elements	1	8,00	0,00	No elements with improved attractiveness were approved so far.
2	O	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	Mechanisms	2	10,00	8,00	8 mechanisms were approved, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects.
3	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	1 963 177	16.088.368,00	0,00	No eligible expenditures were certified so far.
3	O	CO46	Arbeitsmarkt und Ausbildung: Zahl der Teilnehmer an gemeinsamen Aus- und Weiterbildungsprogrammen zur grenzüberschreitenden Förderung von Jugendbeschäftigung, Bildungsangeboten und Berufs- und Hochschulbildung	Persons	100	700,00	453,00	453 persons were approved in 2016 as an indicator, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects.
3	O	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	Mechanisms	1	6,00	5,00	5 mechanisms were approved in 2016, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects
4	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	2,924,151	23.963.618,00	0,00	No eligible expenditures were certified so far.

Prioritätsachse	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Einheit für die Messung	Milestone for 2018 total	2016 Final target (2023) total	2016	Anmerkungen
4	O	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	Partners	40	320,00	9,00	9 partners were approved as an indicator, tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of these projects. A steep increase of this indicator can be expected through the implementation of the Small Project Fund.

Prioritätsachse	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Einheit für die Messung	2015	2014
1	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	0,00	0,00
1	O	OP 3	Number of services to support enterprises' innovative capacity introduced or improved	Services	0,00	0,00
1	O	OP 2	Number of research institutions participating in cross-border, transnational or interregional research projects	Organisations	0,00	0,00
2	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	0,00	0,00
2	O	CO14	Straßen: Gesamtlänge der wiederaufgebauten oder ausgebauten Straßenverbindungen	km	0,00	0,00
2	O	OP 5	Number of cultural/natural heritage elements with improved attractiveness	Elements	0,00	0,00
2	O	OP 9	Number of cross-border mechanisms in the field of nature, landscape and biological species management	Mechanisms	0,00	0,00
3	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	0,00	0,00
3	O	CO46	Arbeitsmarkt und Ausbildung: Zahl der Teilnehmer an gemeinsamen Aus- und Weiterbildungsprogrammen zur grenzüberschreitenden Förderung von Jugendbeschäftigung, Bildungsangeboten und Berufs- und Hochschulbildung	Persons	0,00	0,00

Prioritätsachse	Ind type	ID	Indicator	Einheit für die Messung	2015	2014
3	O	OP 12	Number of cross-border mechanisms to promote joint training and education	Mechanisms	0,00	0,00
4	F	FI1	Eligible expenditures certified	EUR	0,00	0,00
4	O	OP12	(Project)Partners responsible in form and content involved in cross-border activities	Partners	0,00	0,00

3.4. Finanzdaten

Tabelle 4: Finanzinformationen auf Ebene der Prioritätsachse und des Programms

«r.ir.etc.table4.header»

Prioritätsachse	Fonds	Berechnungsgrundlage	Finanzierung insgesamt	Kofinanzierungssatz	Gesamte förderfähige Kosten der für eine Unterstützung ausgewählten Vorhaben	Anteil der Gesamtzuweisung für die ausgewählten Vorhaben	Förderfähige öffentliche Kosten der für eine Unterstützung ausgewählten Vorhaben	Von den Begünstigten bei der Verwaltungsbehörde geltend gemachte förderfähige Gesamtausgaben	Anteil der Gesamtzuweisung für die von Begünstigten geltend gemachten förderfähigen Ausgaben	Anzahl der ausgewählten Vorhaben
1	EFRE	Insgesamt	14.698.659,00	84,92	3.885.284,95	26,43%	3.757.946,70	0,00	0,00%	4
2	EFRE	Insgesamt	53.468.697,00	84,95	1.498.118,24	2,80%	1.435.069,77	0,00	0,00%	2
3	EFRE	Insgesamt	16.091.716,00	84,98	5.702.018,67	35,43%	5.616.978,55	0,00	0,00%	3
4	EFRE	Insgesamt	23.970.491,00	84,98	2.639.052,84	11,01%	2.639.052,84	0,00	0,00%	2
5	EFRE	Insgesamt	6.905.321,00	84,99	5.467.115,10	79,17%	5.467.115,10	0,00	0,00%	7
Insgesamt	EFRE		115.134.884,00	84,96	19.191.589,80	16,67%	18.916.162,96	0,00	0,00%	18
Insgesamt			115.134.884,00	84,96	19.191.589,80	16,67%	18.916.162,96	0,00	0,00%	18

Gegebenenfalls sollte die Nutzung etwaiger Beiträge aus Drittländern, die am Kooperationsprogramm teilnehmen, angegeben werden (z. B. IPA und ENI, Norwegen, Schweiz)

Not applicable

Tabelle 5: Aufschlüsselung der kumulativen Finanzdaten nach Interventionskategorie

Wie in Tabelle 2 von Anhang II der Durchführungsverordnung (EU) Nr. 1011/2014 der Kommission (Muster für die Übermittlung von Finanzdaten) und den Tabellen 6-9 des Musters für die Kooperationsprogramme festgelegt.

Prioritätsachse	Fonds	Interventionsbereich	Finanzierungsform	Dimension "Art des Gebiets"	Territoriale Umsetzungsmechanismen	Dimension "Thematisches Ziel"	Sekundäres ESF-Thema	Dimension "Wirtschaftszweig"	Dimension "Gebiet"	Förderfähige Gesamtkosten der für eine Unterstützung ausgewählten Vorhaben	Förderfähige öffentliche Kosten der für eine Unterstützung ausgewählten Vorhaben	Von den Begünstigten bei der Verwaltungsbehörde geltend gemachte förderfähige Gesamtausgaben	Anzahl der ausgewählten Vorhaben
1	EFRE	060	01	01	07	01				2.393.492,87	2.266.154,62		2
1	EFRE	060	01	02	07	01				1.491.792,08	1.491.792,08		2
3	EFRE	118	01	07	07	10				5.702.018,67	5.616.978,55		3
4	EFRE	119	01	07	07	11				2.639.052,84	2.639.052,84		2
2	EFRE	017	01	07	07	06				999.789,51	936.741,04		1
2	EFRE	091	01	03	07	06				498.328,73	498.328,73		1
5	EFRE	121	01	07	07					5.467.115,10	5.467.115,10		7

Tabelle 6: Kumulierte Kosten eines außerhalb des Unionsteils des Programmbereichs durchgeführten Vorhabens oder Vorhabenteils

1. Vorhaben (2)	2. Höhe der EFRE-Unterstützung (1), die für außerhalb des Unionsteils des Programmgebiets durchgeführte Vorhaben und Vorhabenteile vorgesehen ist, basierend auf ausgewählten Vorhaben	3. Anteil der Gesamtmittelzuweisung, der einem außerhalb des Unionsteils des Programmbereichs durchgeführten Vorhaben oder Vorhabenteil zugewiesen wurde (%) (Spalte 2/auf Programmebene der Unterstützung aus dem EFRE zugewiesener Gesamtbetrag × 100)	4. Förderfähige Ausgaben der EFRE-Unterstützung, die für außerhalb des Unionsteils des Programmgebiets durchgeführte Vorhaben und Vorhabenteile angefallen ist und bei der Verwaltungsbehörde durch den Begünstigten geltend gemacht wurde	5. Anteil der Gesamtmittelzuweisung, der einem außerhalb des Unionsteils des Programmbereichs durchgeführten Vorhaben oder Vorhabenteil zugewiesen wurde (%) (Spalte 4/auf Programmebene der Unterstützung aus dem EFRE zugewiesener Gesamtbetrag × 100)
------------------------	---	---	---	---

(1) Die EFRE-Unterstützung wird im Kommissionsbeschluss zum jeweiligen Kooperationsprogramm festgelegt.

(2) Im Einklang mit den und vorbehaltlich der Obergrenzen aus Artikel 20 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1299/2013.

4. SYNTHESE DER BEWERTUNGEN

The evaluations of the result/output indicators proceeded without any significant problems. It is necessary to note that this achievement affects only approved/allocated results and therefore tangible outputs can be monitored only through implementation/after finalisation of the approved projects.

In 2016 no evaluations were made. Details see chapter 10.1.

5. PROBLEME, DIE SICH AUF DIE LEISTUNG DES PROGRAMMS AUSWIRKEN, UND VORGENOMMENE MASSNAHMEN

a) Probleme, die sich auf die Leistung des Programms auswirken, und vorgenommene Maßnahmen

As indicated in Chapter 2, there was a lack of staff in the JS in Brno, which meant that the MA had to support JS's work. This led to the fact that, although the description of the DCMS could be started, some delays had to be accepted. Currently the personnel situation in Brno is clarified and the programme can concentrate on the designation work.

The program closure for the period 07-13, too, was a challenge, which was finally mastered.

In recent years, there have also been macroeconomic and political challenges in Europe that could have an influence on the performance of our programme, e. g. the refugee crisis. But those issues are not really addressed by the INTERREG V-A AT-CZ programme, respectively are tackled on a higher political level, thus the programme has not been affected actually.

b) OPTIONAL BEI KURZBERICHTEN, ansonsten in Punkt 9.1. Bewertung, ob die Fortschritte im Hinblick auf die Ziele des Programms ausreichen, um ihr Erreichen zu gewährleisten, unter Angabe etwaiger ergriffener oder geplanter Abhilfemaßnahmen, falls zutreffend.

-

6. BÜRGERINFO (ARTIKEL 50 ABSATZ 9 DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1303/2013)

Eine Bürgerinfo zu den Inhalten der jährlichen und abschließenden Durchführungsberichte soll veröffentlicht und als separate Datei als Anhang des jährlichen bzw. des abschließenden Durchführungsberichts hochgeladen werden.

Aktualisieren/Aufrufen können Sie die Bürgerinfo unter Allgemeines -> Dokumente

**7. BERICHT ÜBER DEN EINSATZ DER FINANZINSTRUMENTE (ARTIKEL 46
DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1303/2013)**

8. FORTSCHRITTE BEI DER VORBEREITUNG UND DURCHFÜHRUNG VON GROSSPROJEKTEN UND GEMEINSAMEN AKTIONSPLÄNEN (ARTIKEL 101 BUCHSTABE H UND ARTIKEL 111 ABSATZ 3 DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1303/2013 SOWIE ARTIKEL 14 ABSATZ 3 BUCHSTABE B DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1299/2013)

8.1. Großprojekte

Tabelle 7: Großprojekte

Projekt	CCI-Nr.	Status GP	Gesamtinvestitionen	Förderfähige Gesamtkosten	Geplantes Datum für Mitteilung/Einreichung des Großprojekts bei der Kommission	Datum der stillschweigenden Einwilligung/Genehmigung durch die Kommission	Geplanter Beginn der Durchführung	Geplantes Datum für den Abschluss	Prioritätsachse/Investitionsprioritäten	Derzeitiger Stand der Durchführung – finanzieller Fortschritt (% der der Kommission bescheinigten Ausgaben im Vergleich zu den förderfähigen Gesamtkosten)	Derzeitiger Stand der Durchführung – physischer Fortschritt Hauptdurchführungsphase des Projekts	W...

(1) Im Falle von Tätigkeiten im Rahmen von öffentlich-privaten Partnerschaften, der ÖPP-Vertrag zwischen der öffentlichen und der privatwirtschaftlichen Einrichtung (Artikel 102 Absatz 3 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013).

Significant problems encountered in implementing major projects and measures taken to overcome them

Not relevant

Any change planned in the list of major projects in the cooperation programme

Not relevant

8.2. Gemeinsame Aktionspläne

Fortschritt bei der Durchführung der verschiedenen Phasen der gemeinsamen Aktionspläne

Not relevant

Tabelle 8: Gemeinsame Aktionspläne

Titel des gemeinsamen Aktionsplans	CCI-Nr.	Durchführungsp hase gemeinsamer Aktionsplan	Förderfähige Gesamtkosten	Öffentliche Unterstützung insgesamt	Beitrag des operationellen Programms zum gemeinsamen Aktionsplan	Prioritätsac hse	Art des gemeinsamen Aktionsplans	[Geplante] Einreichung bei der Kommission	[Geplanter] Beginn der Durchführung	[Geplanter] Abschluss	Wichtigster Output und wichtigste Ergebnisse	Der Kommission bescheinigte förderfähige Gesamtausgaben	Anmerkungen

Erhebliche Probleme und Maßnahmen zu ihrer Bewältigung

Not relevant

9. BEWERTUNG DER DURCHFÜHRUNG DES KOOPEERATIONSPROGRAMMS (ARTIKEL 50 ABSATZ 4 DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1303/2013 UND ARTIKEL 14 ABSATZ 4 DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1299/2013)

9.1 Informationen aus Teil A und Erreichen der Ziele des Programm (Artikel 50 Absatz 4 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013)

Prioritätsachse	1 - Strengthening research, technological development and innovation
-----------------	--

In 2016, 63 % percent of the investment priority 1a could be allocated. The investment priority 1b was filled with 11 % out of the approved budget for this priority.

The chosen indicator “research quota” - one of the Europe 2020 main target indicators - represents the level of R&I activities in this priority. The approved projects will contribute to an increase of the R&I expenditure so an effect to the research quota is expected. Due to Eurostat data, the relation of R&I expenditure to the GDP was at 3.00 % in 2016.

Prioritätsachse	2 - Environment and Resources
-----------------	-------------------------------

In 2016, only 1 % of the investment priority 6c could be allocated. The low number is due to the fact that the planned complementary projects for roads, which should be the main part of this priority, were not yet submitted in 2016. On the contrary, the investment priority 6d was allocated with 56 % and 6f with 16%.

The supported actions will help to protect, promote and develop the rich and diverse cultural and natural heritage in the Czech-Austrian border region in a sustainable and resource-efficient way.

Prioritätsachse	3 - Human resources development
-----------------	---------------------------------

In 2016, already 45 % of the investment priority 10a could be allocated.

Education and qualification are basic elements in a cross-border integration process and an exclusive development of the society. Investing in people and skills is one of the priority axis formulated in the Danube strategy, the identified challenges and planned activities under this IP will contribute to the implementation of a common Danube Region strategy.

For measuring the results of the planned interventions, the indicator “Joint education activities and qualification supply” was chosen. This indicator includes and describes joint (i.e. cross-border) activities in the field of education and qualification (joint:

training, courses, educational programmes and other forms of joint education and training, student exchange etc.). Shift in such supply clearly demonstrates reaching the specific objective. The baseline was identified through a survey organised in February 2015.

In the year 2016, only 29 joint educational activities and qualification supplies could be reported. This rather low number is due to the fact that no cross border projects have been implemented so far. The respondent institutions pointed out planned activities for the year 2017 considering the already approved projects in the investment priority 10a. Therefore a steep increase of this value can be expected.

Prioritätsachse

4 - Sustainable networks and institutional cooperation

In 2016, 12 % of the investment priority 11 was allocated.

The projects within this IP seek to achieve a higher level of regional integration, cross-border coordination and better neighbourhood relations. This is to be achieved by a wide range of cooperation activities between citizens, communities and institutions and making use of participation and civil society activities.

As a result indicator measuring the level of cooperation, integration, harmonization and coherence in local/ regional governance the “share of weighings for category 4 (above average) and 5 (intensive) was chosen. This indicator was identified with a survey prepared and organised by the MA, submitted to 678 persons/institutions in November 2014.

The results in 2017 show a slight decrease of measuring the level of cooperation/ integration from 22.00 % (in 2014) to 20.77 % (in 2016). This decrease could be explained through the fact that no cross border projects have been implemented so far. Especially through the activities of the already approved Small Project Fund, a steep increase of the share of weighings measuring the level of cooperation can be expected.

Prioritätsachse

5 - Technical assistance

The investment priority Technical assistance was allocated with 79 % in the year 2016.

This priority axis includes activities for implementation, monitoring, evaluation and control of the present programme as well as information and publicity to support the active participation of all partners and regions. This should guarantee the preconditions (materials and personnel resources) for programme implementation and efficient and effective monitoring, including control, monitoring, evaluation, information and public relations.

9.2. Besondere Maßnahmen zur Förderung der Gleichstellung von Männern und Frauen und zur Bekämpfung von Diskriminierung, insbesondere Verbesserung der Zugänglichkeit für Personen mit einer Behinderung, und Vorkehrungen zur Gewährleistung der Berücksichtigung des Gleichstellungsaspektes im Kooperationsprogramm und in Vorhaben (Artikel 50 Absatz 4 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013 und Artikel 14 Absatz 4 Unterabsatz 2 Buchstabe d der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1299/2013)

Eine Bewertung der Durchführung spezifischer Maßnahmen zur Einhaltung der Grundsätze aus Artikel 7 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013 über die Förderung der Gleichstellung von Männern und Frauen und Nichtdiskriminierung, gegebenenfalls – je nach Inhalt und Zielen des Kooperationsprogramms – einschließlich eines Überblicks über die besonderen Maßnahmen zur Förderung der Gleichstellung von Männern und Frauen und zur Bekämpfung von Diskriminierung, einschließlich Verbesserung der Zugänglichkeit für Personen mit einer Behinderung, und Vorkehrungen zur Gewährleistung der Berücksichtigung des Gleichstellungsaspektes im Kooperationsprogramm und in Vorhaben.

Cross-border work is per se an initiative for inclusion.

Within the projects proposed for funding, non-discrimination and gender equality are important at all the stages, starting from the project idea, to the submission of the application, from the implementation phase until the project finalisation.

In the case of infrastructure projects that improve accessibility for tourists to cultural/natural heritage it is important to consider the possibility of measures to increase accessibility such as specific structures for the accessibility of persons with visual impairments or e.g. specific rest areas for elderly and persons with disabilities.

For any training and capacity building initiatives it is crucial to make sure that the selection of participants is based on transparent and non-discriminatory criteria.

All 23 projects so far approved within the programme INTERREG V-A Austria-Czech Republic are consciously dealing with equality between men and women and non-discrimination and realize their aims while taking into account the principle of appreciation of diversity. Also projects, which assessed their work in the application form as “neutral” in terms of equality between men and women and non-discrimination, contribute to these themes just by setting these standards and working by these standards.

Project ATCZ15 (Algenetics) focuses on hiring handicapped employees. Currently there are 22 foreigners from all over the world part of the MBU (Microbiological Institute of the Czech Republic).

Within project ATCZ26, in addition to EU-guidelines, all partners formulated an internal agreement in which they state to treat all candidates and employees equally, irrespective of their sex, race, ethnical origin, health state, age or sexual preference.

An outstandingly positive effect contributes Project ATCZ22 (Together healthy without borders), since the project is dealing with the issue of a border being an obstacle for getting medical treatment. Actively the project’s work deals with managing the organisation and administration of providing medical treatment in medical centres for people on both sides of the border between Austria and the Czech Republic. Questions to be solved are questions concerning law or questions of insurance. The project’s aim is

to end the condition in which it depends on one's nationality whether or not one gets his/her necessary treatment in time.

Every single project stated that the equality of men and women is being consciously maintained. Furthermore project ATCZ15 (Algenetics) is especially addressing qualified women to apply for positions in the project.

All projects, which serve as technical assistance to the programme, as a matter of course, since they represent and monitor the programme's rules and thus also serve as an example, maintain the principles of equality and non-discrimination.

Last but not least, in the constitutional meeting of the Monitoring Committee (2015) the Selection Criteria for submitted projects have been approved. These criteria take account of the horizontal principles set in Articles 5, 7 and 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013.

9.3 Nachhaltige Entwicklung (Artikel 50 Absatz 4 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013 und Artikel 14 Absatz 4 Unterabsatz 2 Buchstabe e der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1299/2013)

Bewertung der Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Einhaltung der Grundsätze aus Artikel 8 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013 über nachhaltige Entwicklung, gegebenenfalls – je nach Inhalt und Zielen des Kooperationsprogramms – einschließlich eines Überblicks über die zur Förderung einer nachhaltigen Entwicklung getroffenen Maßnahmen in Einklang mit dem genannten Artikel.

In the range of 23 projects, which have been approved within the programme INTERREG V-A Austria-Czech Republic, there are 11 projects, which contribute to sustainable development. The other 12 projects are focusing on other relevant aims within the OPs, and their outputs are therefore neutral in relation to sustainability.

The quality of the contribution to sustainability is on the one hand the way in which the projects realize their outputs. As an example, the very conscious manner in which project ATCZ5 (BIG – Educational cooperation in border regions) includes sustainability in the whole working process, can be mentioned. In order to work resource-saving, the project avoids working with paper and therefore uses just electronic data. Places chosen for meetings are always reachable with means of public transportation and participants are urged to use public transportation. Whenever possible, co-operational work is realized with the help of e-Collaboration tools to reduce the need of travelling and therefore also to reduce pollution.

The second and even more essential quality of contribution to sustainability consists in actively establishing sustainable structures.

Within the field of sustainability there are three different areas to which the projects contribute – the ecological, the economical and the social area.

As an example for ecological sustainability serves project ATCZ7, which is focusing on the conservation and rehabilitation of the ground's biodiversity along the Thaya river, which contributes to a healthy environment and the wide range of diversity of species.

Project ATCZ14 is contributing to the ecological area through the building of a centre for medical technology and thereby establishing a cross-border network and cooperation between scientists.

Project ATCZ15 is a cross-border initiative between research institutes that develop cheap, sustainable and renewable types of energy as ethanol and starch out of micro-algae.

Project ATCZ37 ensures the protection of oligotrophic waters through the protection of freshwater mussels. Research results and concrete measures will help to deal with flood situations.

Project ATCZ38 is developing new building materials. By using these materials, a reduction of CO2 emissions should be reached.

In the area of social sustainability we can name project ATCZ5 – by helping with the development of intellectual resources this project establishes sustainable social structures and thus creates job opportunities.

For a good development in sustainability it is important to educate children to make sure that their approach will be a conscious. Project ATCZ65 offers science education for children to positively influence their approach to nature.

Last but not least, in the constitutional meeting of the Monitoring Committee (2015) the Selection Criteria for submitted projects have been approved. These criteria take account of the horizontal principles set in Articles 5, 7 and 8 of Regulation (EU) No 1303/2013.

9.4. Berichterstattung über die für die Klimaschutzziele verwendete Unterstützung (Artikel 50 Absatz 4 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013)

Calculated amount of support to be used for climate change objectives based on the cumulative financial data by category of intervention in Table 7

Prioritätsachse	Amount of support to be used for climate change objectives (EUR)	Proportion of total allocation to the operational programme (%)
Insgesamt	0,00	0,00%

No resources have been paid out in 2016. The intervention codes for the approved projects all have a coefficient of 0% according to Annex I/Table 1 of Regulation (EU) 215/2014. Therefore, this is not relevant for this report.

9.5 Rolle der Partner bei der Durchführung des Kooperationsprogramms (Artikel 50 Absatz 4 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013 und Artikel 14 Absatz 4 Unterabsatz 1 Buchstabe c der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1299/2013)

Bewertung der Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Einhaltung der Rolle der Partner aus Artikel 5 der Verordnung (EU) Nr. 1303/2013, einschließlich Einbindung von Partnern in die Durchführung, die Begleitung und die Bewertung des Kooperationsprogramms.

The programme has several structures for the implementation in which the partners are involved accordingly.

Monitoring Committee (MC)

The role and tasks of the MC and its members are laid down in the Rules of Procedure and mainly cover the following areas: Selection of the projects to be supported, acceptance and approval of programme progress reports, programme evaluation, communication measures, approval of programme-related documents, etc. The list of the MC-members is published on the programme website <http://www.at-cz.eu/at/downloads/programm Dokumente>.

In 2016, one meeting of the MC took place, in which the first 23 projects were approved. The discussion was constructive, but in some cases also controversial. The result of this was that some projects concerned had to be postponed. On the other hand, this is also a sign of the fact that the program partners deal with the projects critically and constructively, thus contributing to the continuous quality improvement.

Task force

The task force is a joint working group of the program partners and has the following role: Development, discussion and adoption of documents for programme implementation - manual for applicants, manual for project partners;

Preparation and discussion of programme-relevant documents submitted to the MC for decision-making: common eligibility rules, assessment criteria for the formal and qualitative assessment of the applications, evaluation plan, communication strategy;

Ongoing monitoring of the program, coordination of priorities and events, regular exchange on current and expected projects.

The TF-members are the representatives of the programme regions (regional bodies of the counties/federal provinces), CZ-ministry as National Authority, controllers, Managing Authority, Joint Secretariat.

In 2016, 5 TF-meetings took place: The program partners met in a rotative way in one of the program regions. Different topics were discussed; among others project submissions and the above mentioned programme-relevant documents and processes.

The main challenge was to coordinate and tackle the different “planning cultures” and national regulations of CZ and the three involved AT-federal provinces (“Bundesländer”). Nevertheless, as far as possible, joint programme documents have been developed that are largely without regional mutations. Compared to the programming period of 2013 - 2007, this can be seen as an advance in the cooperation

and involvement of the partners.

Ongoing meetings and coordination

Between the above-mentioned meetings, the MA and the JS carried out ongoing coordination and small meetings with the representatives of the Member States and controllers for the further development of the eMS, manual for applicants, manual for project partners, eligibility rules, Memorandum of Understanding and all other relevant documents and processes regarding the managing and control system.

Public opening event on 8 March 2016 in St. Pölten: All partners, both from the level of administration and from the political side, have participated with their own contributions. The event with over 400 participants went well and a good common picture was presented to the outside.

The civil society was involved through the above-mentioned public events, seminars and workshops for applicants. In sum about 1,100 participants took advantage of these information events and could gain new knowledge about the programme (see also 10.2).

10. OBLIGATORISCHE ANGABEN UND BEWERTUNG GEMÄSS ARTIKEL 14 ABSATZ 4 UNTERABSATZ 1 BUCHSTABEN A UND B DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1299/2013

10.1 Fortschritte bei der Umsetzung des Bewertungsplans und der Folgemaßnahmen zu den bei der Bewertung gemachten Feststellungen

At the 2nd meeting of the Monitoring Committee in Rakvice on 26th – 27th of June 2016 the first version of the evaluation plan was approved.

The preparation of the evaluation plan was closely linked to the implementation and monitoring system of the programme INTERREG V-A Austria - Czech Republic. The data and findings obtained in this context formed the basis for further evaluations.

A further basis on which the main evaluation questions were based, were the ex-ante evaluation, the environmental report, the results of the investigation among the main stakeholders and potential project holders (in particular section 2.3 "Claims / expenses of the administration of projects ") as well as the result indicators RI4, RI5, RI6.

A timetable has been drawn up for the planned evaluations. With a view to the development of the implementation of the European Structural and Investment Funds, the focus of evaluations of the results and impacts will be after the year 2016.

On the other hand, it is appropriate to carry out the assessments dealing with the functioning of the programme in the coming years in order to set appropriate corrective measures, if necessary.

In light of these facts, in 2016 no evaluations were carried out. For 2017, the evaluation of the publicity work of the programme as well as an assessment of the needs of the programme area and of the intervention logic is planned.

In order to ensure good quality of the evaluations, as well as to be able to better evaluate the offers of external service providers, training will be carried out for the responsible employees of the Managing Authority and Joint Secretariat.

10.2 Ergebnisse der im Rahmen der Kommunikationsstrategie durchgeführten Informations- und Öffentlichkeitsmaßnahmen der Fonds

The communication strategy of the programme INTERREG V-A Austria – Czech Republic was approved on 24th of June 2017 at the 3rd meeting of the Monitoring Committee. The aim of the information and communication activities is to ensure an efficient and accessible communication flow, both, for the general public and for all (potential) beneficiaries.

A budget of € 306,600.00 is planned under the "Technical Assistance" for the information and communication activities of the programme.

The year 2016 was dedicated mainly to drafting the Communication Strategy and planning information and communication activities for the upcoming year. Nevertheless some publicity measures were already taken: With the establishment of the new website www.at-cz.eu the obligation laid down in Regulation No 1301/2013 (EU), Chapter II, Art. 115, Point 1b) was followed. A section at the website called “iBox” has been developed, where all approved projects are presented including their planned or implemented events and outputs.

The programme INTERREG V-A Austria – Czech Republic was officially opened in 2016. Three events were held as the main communication focus. A kick-off event was organised in Znojmo (CZ) on 8th of January 2016 and on 9th of March 2016 a big event for the opening of the programme in St. Pölten took place. In St. Pölten more than 400 interested participants were welcomed in the Lower Austrian parliament. In September 2016, in the course of the European Cooperation Day (EC Day), an excursion for expert audience to Lower Austria, Southern Bohemia and Upper Austria was planned and carried out. Here the successful projects of the programme "European Territorial Cooperation Austria - Czech Republic 2007-2013" were visited and presented on site.

In addition, publicity items were procured according to the publicity regulations (pens, post-its, cotton bags, markers, writing pads and document folders).

The civil society was involved through the above-mentioned public events, seminars and workshops for applicants. In sum about 1,100 participants took advantage of these information events and could gain new knowledge about the programme (see also 9.5). On the programme website www.at-cz.eu 32,134 sessions with an average duration of 3,5 minutes could be counted in 2016. Altogether 11,975 users visited the website (about 65% of them were returning users) and 141,444 views could be recorded.

**11. ZUSÄTZLICHE INFORMATIONEN, DIE JE NACH INHALT UND ZIELEN
DES KOOPERATIONSPROGRAMMS HINZUGEFÜGT WERDEN KÖNNEN
(ARTIKEL 14 ABSATZ 4 UNTERABSATZ 2 BUCHSTABEN A, B, C UND F DER VERORDNUNG
(EU) NR. 1299/2013)**

**11.1 Fortschritte bei der Durchführung des integrierten Ansatzes zur territorialen
Entwicklung, einschließlich nachhaltiger Stadtentwicklung, und der von der
örtlichen Bevölkerung betriebenen lokalen Entwicklung im Rahmen des
Kooperationsprogramms**

Not relevant

11.2 Fortschritte bei der Durchführung von Maßnahmen zur Stärkung der Leistungsfähigkeit der Behörden und Begünstigten bei der Verwaltung und Nutzung des EFRE

Not relevant

11.3 Beitrag zu den makroregionalen Strategien und den Strategien für die Meeresgebiete (gegebenenfalls)

As stipulated by the Regulation (EU) No 1299/2013, recital 19, article 8(3)(d) on the "Content, adoption and amendment of cooperation programmes" and article 14(4) 2nd subparagraph (c) "Implementation reports", this programme contributes to MRS(s) and/or SBS:

All of the approved projects have stated to contribute to the EU Strategy for the Danube Region:

PA3 – To promote culture and tourism, people to people contacts:

ATCZ71 GrünRaum (Inwertsetzung von Grünräumen)

PA 6 – To preserve biodiversity, landscapes and the quality of air and soils:

ATCZ7_Thaya 2020

ATCZ 73 Malsemuschel

ATCZ 42 – Inteko (Innovation der Technologien bei Kompostierung, Kompostanwendung und Bodenschutz)

PA 7 – Knowledge Society:

ATCZ14_CAC-SuMeR (Czech-Austrian Center for Supracellular Medical Research)

ATCZ15 Algenetics (AT-CZ Zentrum für Algenbiotechnologie)

ATCZ38 Com3d-XCT (Competence Center for High-Resolution 3D X-ray Imaging)

ATCZ 26 PDC (Protein Dynamics Centre)

ATCT 40 RIAT-CZ (Research-Innovation AT-CZ)

PA8 – To support the competitiveness of enterprises, including cluster development:

ATCZ 16 SIP – SME (Service Innovations Prozess für Klein-und Mittelunternehmen)

PA 9 – To invest in people and skills:

ATCZ5_BIG (Bildung in der Grenzregion – Education in the Border Region)

ATCZ 35 – MatemaTech (Durch den mathematischen Weg zur Technik)

ATCZ 62 CLIL (jako výuková strategie na vysoké škole)

ATCZ65 Edugard

PA 10 – Institutional Capacity & Cooperation:

ATCZ1_ConnReg (Connecting Regions AT-CZ)

ATCZ 22 Gemeinsam Grenzenlos Gesund – Společně ke zdraví

- EU Strategy for the Baltic Sea Region (EUSBSR)
- EU Strategy for the Danube Region (EUSDR)
- EU Strategy for the Adriatic and Ionian Region (EUSAIR)
- EU Strategy for the Alpine Region (EUSALP)
- Atlantic Sea Basin Strategy (ATLSBS)

EUSDR

The pillar(s) and priority area(s) that the programme is relevant to:

	Pillar	Priority area
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Anbindung des Donauraums	1.1 - Mobilität – Wasserstraßen
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Anbindung des Donauraums	1.2 - Mobilität – Straße, Schiene und Luft
<input type="checkbox"/>	1 - Anbindung des Donauraums	1.3 - Energie
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	1 - Anbindung des Donauraums	1.4 - Kultur und Tourismus
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Umweltschutz im Donauraum	2.1 - Qualität der Gewässer
<input type="checkbox"/>	2 - Umweltschutz im Donauraum	2.2 - Umweltrisiken
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2 - Umweltschutz im Donauraum	2.3 - Biologische Vielfalt, Landschaften, Qualität von Luft und Boden
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Aufbau von Wohlstand im Donauraum	3.1 - Wissensgesellschaft
<input type="checkbox"/>	3 - Aufbau von Wohlstand im Donauraum	3.2 - Wettbewerbsfähigkeit
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	3 - Aufbau von Wohlstand im Donauraum	3.3 - Menschen und Qualifikationen
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	4 - Stärkung des Donauraums	4.1 - Institutionelle Kapazität und Zusammenarbeit
<input type="checkbox"/>	4 - Stärkung des Donauraums	4.2 - Sicherheit

Actions or mechanisms used to better link the programme with the EUSDR

A. Are macro-regional coordinators (mainly National Coordinators, Priority Area Coordinators or Steering Group members) participating in the Monitoring Committee of the programme?

Yes No

B. In selection criteria, have extra points been attributed to specific measures supporting the EUSDR?

Yes No

a) Are targeted calls for proposals planned in relation to EUSDR

Yes No

b) How many macro-regional projects/actions are already supported by the programme? (Number)

16

c) Were extra points/bonus given to a project/action with high macro-regional significance or impact? If yes, please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

no

d) Other actions (e.g. planned strategic projects). Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

no

C. Has the programme invested EU funds in the EUSDR?

Yes No

Does your programme plan to invest in the EUSDR in the future? Please elaborate (1 specific sentence)

-

D. Obtained results in relation to the EUSDR (n.a. for 2016)

Since there are currently no project outputs, no statement can be made at present.

E. Does the programme contribute to the targets as validated by the national coordinators and priority area coordinators in 2016 (uploaded on the EUSDR website)? (Please specify the target(s))

no

11.4 Fortschritte bei der Durchführung von Maßnahmen im Bereich soziale Innovation

Not relevant

13. INTELLIGENTES, NACHHALTIGES UND INTEGRATIVES WACHSTUM

Informationen und Bewertung hinsichtlich des Beitrags des Programms zum Erreichen der Unionsstrategie für intelligentes, nachhaltiges und integratives Wachstum

One of the aims of the programme INTERREG V-A Austria – Czech republic is the contribution to the Union strategy for smart, sustainable and inclusive growth with regards to the selected thematic objectives and priorities, taking into account national and regional needs and lessons drawn from previous programming period.

Smart growth means strengthening knowledge and innovation as drivers of our future growth. The EU currently has a target of investing 3% of GDP in R&D. The target has succeeded in focusing attention on the need for both the public and private sectors to invest in R&D and the programme INTERREG V-A Austria – Czech republic contributes to this target. Considering the cross-border dimension of R&I by establishing and developing or upgrading a common infrastructure, the cross-border programme should contribute to the regional and national innovation strategy and complement the other ESI funds. According to EUROSTAT the current research quota (share of R&I on the GDP) – as a result indicator of the investment priority 1a – is 3.0 %.

In the programme region, the share of SMEs is very high, their contribution to R&I activities, however, within the innovation system is rather low. Following this approach the programme will focus on areas like infrastructure, business services and support for businesses. In addition to regional and national activities, cross-border cooperation will extend the activity level of enterprises and contribute to strengthening their position in the competitive surroundings. According to EUROSTAT the R&I expenditure in the business sector in % of GDP (result indicator of 1b) has reached the value of 1.93 %.

Sustainable growth means building a resource efficient, sustainable and competitive economy. Actions under this priority will, among others, require implementing emission-reduction commitments in a way which maximises the benefits and minimises the costs, including through the spread of innovative technological solutions.

Increasing the level of the region's attractiveness by protecting the environment and to promote an ecologically and economically sustainable development requires a careful balance between sustainability in environmental, economic and socio-cultural terms. The tourism sectors are an important part of Austria's and Czech Republic's economies. The result indicator of the specific objective 2a (valorisation of the cultural and natural heritage of the common region in a sustainable way) could be measured at 31,861,315 overnight stays in 2016.

One of the challenges of the programme is to cope with the main environmental issues in the region such as increasing land use as a consequence of demographic and economic change with negative effects on biodiversity or negative impacts of climate change affecting the regional ecosystem, the local population and the economic system. The increase of ecological stability and improvement of ecosystem services is being measured by a survey submitted to national and regional experts from the fields of environment, nature and landscape protection, climate change, disaster control, civil protection, energy, and waste and water sector. A slight increase of measuring the quality of environment and ecosystem services from 38.40 % (in 2015) to 38.99 % (in

2016) could be noted.

Last but not least the programme contributes to resource efficiency and eco-innovations by implementing innovative technologies and pilot projects. Fostering the utilisation of eco-innovative potential of the region is being measured by a survey distributed to national and regional experts from the fields of environment, nature and landscape protection, climate change, disaster control, civil protection, energy, and waste and water sector. A slight increase of measuring the level of eco-innovation activities from 62.40 % (in 2015) to 63.90 % (in 2016) could be noted.

Inclusive growth means, among others, empowering people through high levels of employment, investing in skills, fighting poverty and modernising labour markets, training and social protection systems so as to help people anticipate and manage change, and last but not least, build a cohesive society. Actions under this priority require modernising, strengthening the employment education and training policies and social protection systems by increasing labour participation and reducing structural unemployment, as well as raising corporate social responsibility among the business community.

For a smart and inclusive development and growth policy, skills and qualifications are the main assets, especially to improve and foster cross-border activities. In a cross-border context, the development of social innovation and civilization techniques (e.g. languages, cultural activities, common skills, joint experiences...) are very important for the joint development process.

14. PROBLEME, DIE SICH AUF DIE LEISTUNG DES PROGRAMMS AUSWIRKEN, UND VORGENOMMENE MASSNAHMEN – LEISTUNGSRAHMEN (ARTIKEL 50 ABSATZ 2 DER VERORDNUNG (EU) NR. 1303/2013)

Wenn die Bewertung der Fortschritte im Hinblick auf die im Leistungsrahmen festgelegten Etappenziele und Ziele aufzeigt, dass bestimmte Etappenziele und Ziele nicht erreicht wurden, sollten die Mitgliedstaaten die Gründe für das Verfehlen dieser Etappenziele im Bericht 2019 (für die Etappenziele) und im endgültigen Durchführungsbericht (für die Ziele) darlegen

Not yet relevant

Dokumente

Dokumentname	Dokumentart	Dokumentdatum	Lokale Referenz	Kommissionsreferenz	Dateien	Sendedatum	Absender
ATCZ_citizens_summary_2016_de	Bürgerinfo	28.06.2017		Ares(2017)3282883	ATCZ_citizens_summary_2016_de	30.06.2017	nweissbh
ATCZ_citizens_summary_2016_cz	Bürgerinfo	28.06.2017		Ares(2017)3282883	ATCZ_citizens_summary_2016_cz	30.06.2017	nweissbh